UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,453	12/03/2004	Hirokazu Kariyazaki	Q85161	2857
65565 SUGHRUE-265	7590 08/22/2007 5550		EXAMINER	
	LVANIA AVE. NW		CABRERA, ZOILA E	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/516,453	KARIYAZAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of the control of t	Zoila E. Cabrera	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) It te, cause the application to becom	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 L)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 December 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
. —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected in abe of the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmant(s)	•					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/3/04;5/3/07.	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2-3, lines 3, 5, 8, 11 and 14 recite "a member". It is unclear what this limitation means. Please note that lacks antecedent basis. Applicant is suggested to rewrite the claim in the "means" plus function format.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Genov et al.** (US 6,121,743) in view of Cheng et al. (US 2003/0171847).

As for claim 1, Genov discloses, an articulated robot comprising: a plurality of tools at a single unit thereof sharing portions of axes and capable of attaching the tools respectively tips of a plurality of axes connected to the axes independently therefrom (Figs. 35, 39, Col. 22, lines 29-54). However, Genov does not disclose *a control*

Application/Control Number: 10/516,453

Art Unit: 2125

apparatus for subjecting a designated one of the tools to an interpolate control while controlling a position thereof or controlling a position and an attitude thereof and subjecting the tool which is not designated to a uniform pay off control to instruct to an axis angle of a target position (Please note that the claim is written in the alternative only and therefore only one is required). But Cheng discloses a robot having a plurality of arms rotatable about a plurality of axes that define a plurality of angles wherein a tool is subjected to an interpolate control while controlling a position thereof ([0035]-[0037], Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Genov with Cheng because it would provide an improved control system wherein the loation component and orientation component of the Cartesian path are optimized, which improves path accuracy (Cheng, [0038]).

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 2 and therefore claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 4. applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,453 Page 4

Art Unit: 2125

Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Zoila Cabrera, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3738. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. EST (every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. Additionally, the fax phones for Art Unit 2125 are (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Zoila Cabrera Primary Examiner 8/17/07

ZOILA CABRERA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

8/17/07